

1 **TESTIMONY OF RANDY H. ERSKINE**
2 **OF**
3 **THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA**
4 **DOCKET NO. 94-006-E**
5 **IN RE: DUKE POWER COMPANY**
6

7 **Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS AND**
8 **OCCUPATION?**

9 **A. Randy H. Erskine, 111 Doctors Circle, Columbia,**
10 **South Carolina. I am employed by the Public Service**
11 **Commission of South Carolina as a Utilities Engineer**
12 **Associate II.**

13 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND**
14 **EXPERIENCE.**

15 **A. I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in**
16 **Electrical Engineering Technology from Clemson**
17 **University in 1982. I was employed by this**
18 **Commission in November 1982 as a Utilities Engineer**
19 **Associate I in the Electric Department and have**
20 **been in my present position since March 1985. I**
21 **have attended professional seminars relating to**
22 **Electric Utility Rate Design. I have testified**
23 **before this Commission in conjunction with**
24 **complaint, fuel clause and general rate hearings.**
25

1 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
2 PROCEEDING?

3 A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide the
4 results of Staff's review of the Company's plant
5 operations at the McGuire and Catawba Nuclear
6 Stations for the period April 1, 1994 through
7 September 30, 1994.

8 Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE GIVE THE RESULTS OF YOUR REVIEW OF
9 THE PLANT PERFORMANCE AT THE MCGUIRE STATION DURING
10 THE PERIOD APRIL 1, 1994 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 1994?

11 A. Yes, McGuire Unit No. 1 began a scheduled 52 day
12 refueling on August 19, 1994 and continuing through
13 the end of September 1994. As in prior, similar
14 situations, Staff requests this refueling be
15 reviewed in the context of Duke Power Company's next
16 fuel proceeding.

17 During the review period, McGuire Unit No. 1
18 experienced one brief forced outage beginning on
19 May 12, 1994 and ending on May 13, 1994. Personnel,
20 while performing preventive maintenance on ground
21 detection equipment, placed a screwdriver on a
22 divider barrier. The screwdriver later fell and
23 struck a reverse power trip relay resulting in a
24 reactor trip. This outage was the result of
25 improper use of equipment because the divider

1 barrier in an electrical panel was used as a tool
2 rest. Corrective actions to be taken to prevent
3 recurrence include communicating to personnel that
4 divider barriers in electrical equipment are not to
5 be used as tool rest and procedure changes to
6 caution personnel.

7 McGuire Unit No. 2 did not experience any
8 outages, during the review period.

9 Q. MR. ERSKINE, WOULD YOU PLEASE GIVE THE RESULTS OF
10 YOUR REVIEW OF THE REFUELING OUTAGE AT CATAWBA UNIT
11 2 WHICH BEGAN APRIL 29, 1994 AND ENDED ON JULY 5,
12 1994?

13 A. Catawba Unit 2 entered a refueling outage on April
14 29, 1994 and ended on July 5, 1994. This refueling
15 outage lasted for approximately 65 days. The
16 refueling outage had a planned duration of 59 days.
17 The outage was extended due to Reactor Coolant Pump
18 Seal Repair. During the Unit 2 refueling, two of
19 the four reactor coolant pumps' seals were rebuilt.
20 During the initial pressurization of the Reactor
21 Coolant System, the number 2 seal in the B reactor
22 coolant pump exhibited excessive leakoff flow,
23 indicating that it was not seating properly. The
24 cause of the number 2 seal failure to seat was
25 determined to be the misalignment of the seal runner

1 anti-rotation pin with the seal housing. The
2 misalignment apparently occurred during final
3 installation of the number 2 seal and would have
4 been undetectable until pressurization. The reactor
5 coolant seal package was inspected and replaced. In
6 spite of all the challenges, this outage was the
7 third shortest duration in the history of Catawba.

8 Q. MR. ERSKINE, WOULD YOU PLEASE GIVE THE RESULTS OF
9 YOUR REVIEW OF THE PLANT PERFORMANCE AT THE CATAWBA
10 STATION DURING THE APRIL 1, 1994 THROUGH SEPTEMBER
11 30, 1994?

12 A. Catawba Unit No. 1 did not experience any forced
13 outages, during the review period.

14 Catawba Unit No. 2 experienced three forced
15 outages during the period under review. The first
16 outage began on July 10, 1994 and ended on July 11,
17 1994. On July 10, personnel were attempting to
18 improve a high thrust bearing temperature condition
19 on the "A" main feedwater pump. The thrust bearing
20 temperature continued to increase. In order to
21 prevent equipment damage, control room operators
22 manually tripped the "A" main feedwater pump. An
23 automatic runback was initiated as a result of the
24 trip of one of the two main feedwater pumps. During
25 the runback, the "C" steam generator reached the

1 high-high level setpoint which results in a main
2 turbine trip and feedwater isolation to prevent
3 moisture intrusion into the main turbine. The
4 feedwater isolation tripped the operating "B" main
5 feedwater pump. With reactor power at approximately
6 55 percent, the operators manually tripped the
7 reactor. This outage was reviewed and determined to
8 be the result of inadequate maintenance performed on
9 the main feedwater pump lube oil system, combined
10 with management decisions which allowed the unit to
11 be returned to full power operations with main
12 feedwater pump thrust bearing operating temperature
13 continuing to elevate. Had the unit been at less
14 than full power, increased margin in the ability of
15 the Digital Feedwater Control System to control
16 steam generator levels would have been realized.

17 The second outage at Catawba Unit No. 2 began on
18 August 30, 1994 and ended on August 31, 1994.
19 Personnel were investigating the cause of the
20 Reactor Trip/Safety Injection events recorder point
21 being in alarm. As part of investigating the cause
22 of the alarm a maintenance technician determined
23 from a drawing that it would be necessary to open a
24 sliding link. When the link was opened, a reactor
25 trip occurred. Subsequent investigation revealed

1 that the wrong link was opened due to being
2 incorrectly identified on the drawing. The link as
3 shown on the drawing used by the technician should
4 have been in the Reactor Trip/Safety Injection
5 events recorder point circuitry; however, it was
6 actually in the Manual Safety Injection/Reactor Trip
7 circuitry. This outage was reviewed and determined
8 to be the result of less than adequate work
9 practices in that self-checking was not properly
10 applied because the error was not recognized during
11 earlier revision to the drawing. Corrective actions
12 include revising the drawing, changes to the
13 engineering guideline for checking drawings, and
14 evaluation of the troubleshooting process.

15 The third forced outage experienced by Catawba
16 Unit No. 2 during the period under review began on
17 September 13, 1994 and ended on September 16, 1994.
18 An automatic Reactor/Turbine Trip occurred after the
19 Main Steam Isolation Valve for "C" Steam Generator
20 closed unexpectedly. This outage was the result of
21 equipment failure involving an Auxiliary Relay.

22 Q. MR. ERSKINE, IN YOUR REVIEW OF THE COMPANY'S PLANT
23 OPERATIONS, HAVE YOU DETERMINED WHETHER ANY
24 SITUATIONS WARRANT A DETERMINATION THAT ANY COMPANY
25 ACTION CAUSED ITS CUSTOMERS TO BE SUBJECT TO PAYING

1 **HIGHER FUEL COSTS?**

2 A. Based on my review of the Company's plant operations
3 at the McGuire and Catawba Nuclear Stations, I have
4 determined that there were no Company actions which
5 required Duke's customers to incur higher fuel
6 costs. Therefore, based on my review I have not
7 recommended any disallowance of fuel cost during
8 this review period.

9 **Q. MR. ERSKINE, DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

10 A. Yes, it does.